



Evaluation of Integrated Nutrient Management Approaches on Phenological Development and Yield Components of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Kartikey Upadhyay ^a, Hirdesh Kumar ^{a*},
Arun Sahu ^a, Sachin Kumar Singh ^a
and Mandvi Srivastava ^a

^a School of Agriculture Science, Vikrant University, Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i123171>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/128323>

Original Research Article

Received: 22/10/2024

Accepted: 22/12/2024

Published: 29/12/2024

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the effects of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield performance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The study comprised eight treatments combining different rates of chemical fertilizers (RDF), farm yard manure (FYM), and bio-fertilizers (PSB) in a randomized block design. Morphological characteristics, including plant height, number of tillers, leaf area index, and dry matter accumulation, were significantly influenced

*Corresponding author: E-mail: hirdeshkumar468@yahoo.com;

Cite as: Upadhyay, Kartikey, Hirdesh Kumar, Arun Sahu, Sachin Kumar Singh, and Mandvi Srivastava. 2024. "Evaluation of Integrated Nutrient Management Approaches on Phenological Development and Yield Components of Wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.)". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (12):639-48. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i123171>.

by the integrated application of nutrients. The treatment T₆ 100% RDF + farm yard manure@1t/ha + PSB showed superior performance in growth parameters and yield attributes. This integrated approach enhanced nutrient availability, improved soil physical properties, and increased biological activity, resulting in better crop performance. Economic analysis revealed higher net returns and benefit-cost ratio under integrated nutrient management compared to sole application of chemical fertilizers. The study demonstrates that judicious integration of organic, inorganic, and bio-fertilizers can optimize wheat productivity while maintaining soil health and ensuring economic viability.

Keywords: *Integrated nutrient management; farm yard manure; bio-fertilizers; growth parameters; yield components; economic analysis; sustainable agriculture; soil health; resource use efficiency.*

1. INTRODUCTION

“Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is very important staple and remunerative *Rabi* crops, cultivated in almost all the countries of the world. Among major wheat producing countries, India ranked second next to China with regards to its production in world (Agriculture Sectors National Portal). It is the second most important cereal crop after rice in India, and grown under diverse agro climatic conditions. In India wheat is grown in an area of about 29.58 million hectares with the production of 99.70 million tones and the productivity of 33.71 q ha⁻¹” (Ramadas et al., 2019). In Madhya Pradesh, it is grown in 5.56 million ha area with the production of 15.9 million tones and share in all India production 15.96%. The five major wheat growing states of Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Madhya Pradesh, Haryana and Rajasthan contributed nearly 86.0 per cent to the total production in the country. Punjab has the highest average productivity of 4.7 t ha⁻¹ followed by Haryana 4.4 t ha⁻¹, Rajasthan 3.1 t ha⁻¹, Gujarat 3.0 t ha⁻¹, Uttar Pradesh 2.8 t ha⁻¹, Madhya Pradesh 2.6 t ha⁻¹ and Bihar 2.2 t ha⁻¹ (Kumar et al., 2021; Mishra et al., 2009).

“Organic farming is a system which avoids or largely excludes the use of synthetic inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, hormones, feed additives etc.) and to the maximum extent feasible rely upon crop rotations, crop residues, animal manures, off-farm organic waste, mineral grade rock additives and biological system of nutrient mobilization and plant protection” (Panwar et al., 2008). “Biofertilizers are not chemical fertilizers rather these are carrier-based preparations containing beneficial microorganisms and when incorporated in soil, enhance specific microbial growth in rhizosphere, play vital role in nutrient mineralization, increase nutrient accumulation ultimately increase crop yield without any deterioration of nature” (Patra et al., 2009). Biofertilizers represent an

environmentally sustainable and cost-effective approach to agricultural production, offering a viable alternative to conventional chemical fertilizers while promoting soil health and fertility. These microbial inoculants, particularly *Rhizobium* species, play a crucial role in enhancing nutrient availability and uptake in wheat cultivation systems (Kumar et al., 2023). The application of biofertilizers not only reduces dependency on synthetic chemical fertilizers but also contributes to the restoration and maintenance of soil biological properties, leading to improved soil structure and fertility (Bambal et al., 2023).

The process of seed inoculation with *Rhizobium* has demonstrated remarkable efficacy in promoting various aspects of wheat growth and development. When wheat seeds are treated with *Rhizobium* inoculants, they exhibit enhanced nodulation capacity, which significantly improves the plant's ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen. This biological nitrogen fixation process naturally enriches the soil with essential nutrients, creating a more favorable environment for plant growth. The increased nodulation activity results in better root development, leading to improved nutrient absorption and water uptake efficiency.

Furthermore, the implementation of biofertilizer technology offers multiple economic advantages to farmers (Singh et al., 2024). By reducing the requirement for chemical fertilizers, it substantially lowers production costs while maintaining or even improving crop yields.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the experimental block, Vikrant University, Gwalior, M.P. during *Rabi* 2024. In RBD with the 8 treatments T₁ control, T₂ 100% RDF, T₃ 100% RDF + farm yard manure@1t/ha, T₄ 75% RDF + farm yard manure@1t/ha, T₅ 50% RDF + farm yard manure@1t/ha, T₆ 100% RDF + farm yard

manure@1t/ha + PSB, T7 75% RDF + farm yard manure@1t/ha + PSB, T8 50% RDF + farm yard manure@1t/ha +PSB in 3 replications by following all the cultural practices of the crop. The characters of growth attributes such as Days required 50% flowering, plant height, dry matter plant, number of tillers per plant, number of leaves per plant are observed in the interval of 30,60 and 90 DAS and the characters of yield attributes such as Effective tillers m⁻², numbers of grains per ear head, 1000-Grain Weight, Biological yield, Grain yield, Straw yield, Harvest index are observed. The data collected during the course of present investigation were statistically analyzed by adopting standard methods known as 'Analysis of Variance' (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental findings demonstrate the significant advantages of an integrated nutrient management approach in wheat cultivation, particularly through the combination of 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), farm yard manure (FYM), and Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB). The superior performance of treatment T6 in terms of growth parameters and yield components can be attributed to several interconnected factors (Fig. 1). The inclusion of FYM likely improved soil physical properties, enhanced water holding capacity, and provided a steady supply of nutrients through gradual mineralization. This organic component, when combined with inorganic fertilizers, creates an optimal nutrient release pattern that matches the crop's requirements throughout its growth stages. The addition of PSB plays a crucial role in increasing phosphorus availability by solubilizing fixed soil phosphorus, thereby

enhancing its uptake by plants. This biological component also contributes to improved soil biological activity and the production of growth-promoting substance, similar studies were cited by Astaneh et al., (2018); Gomaa et al., (2018); Gopinath et al., (2016); Zhao et al., (2009) and Kloepper et al., (2004). The synergistic effect of these three components (RDF, FYM, and PSB) is evident in the enhanced plant height, increased tiller numbers, and improved dry matter accumulation. The superior economic performance of T6, as reflected in higher gross and net monetary returns and benefit-cost ratio, suggests that this integrated approach not only optimizes plant growth and yield but also provides better financial returns to farmers Alam, Kaur et al., (2024) focuses on assessing the effectiveness of various organic fertilizers, including vermicompost, in rehabilitating degraded soils. This study aims to provide insights into how these fertilizers can improve soil properties and support plant growth in compromised environments. The poor performance of the control treatment (T1) underscores the importance of proper nutrient management in wheat cultivation. Rajput et al., (2022) and Rawte et al., (2022). These findings align with the principles of sustainable agriculture, where the goal is to maintain high productivity while ensuring soil health and economic viability (Figs. 2-4). The results suggest that integrated nutrient management could be a key strategy for achieving sustainable intensification in wheat production systems, particularly in regions where soil fertility is a limiting factor. This approach not only addresses the immediate nutrient requirements of the crop but also contributes to long-term soil health and sustainable agricultural practices (Joshi et al., 2013; Kanno et al., 2022).

Table 1. Effect of integrated nutrient management on plant height of wheat

T. No.	Treatments	Plant height (cm)			
		30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T ₁	Control	18.40	38.74	53.06	53.03
T ₂	100% RDF	28.86	53.98	85.99	85.95
T ₃	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	29.27	53.36	89.43	89.38
T ₄	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	28.08	51.64	84.17	84.14
T ₅	50% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	27.35	49.53	80.26	80.26
T ₆	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	29.93	55.30	90.80	90.72
T ₇	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	28.68	52.73	85.33	85.07
T ₈	50% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	28.05	50.29	82.02	82.00
	SEm±	0.64	0.86	1.00	1.00
	CD (P=0.05)	1.97	2.62	3.05	3.05




Fig. 1. Effect of integrated nutrient management on grain, straw yield ha⁻¹, harvest index of wheat




Fig. 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on spike length number of grains spike⁻¹, grains weight plant⁻¹, and test weight of wheat

Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on tillers of wheat

T. No.	Treatments	No. of tillers/plant			
		30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T ₁	Control	1.00	2.00	2.67	3.17
T ₂	100% RDF	1.67	2.67	3.33	4.25
T ₃	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	2.33	3.00	3.67	4.17
T ₄	75% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha	2.33	2.33	3.33	3.67
T ₅	50% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha	2.00	2.00	4.47	4.83
T ₆	100% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha + PSB	2.67	3.67	4.33	5.00
T ₇	75% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha+ PSB	2.33	2.67	3.67	4.25
T ₈	50% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha+ PSB	1.67	2.00	3.00	3.67
SEm±		0.30	0.22	0.33	0.42
CD (P=0.05)		0.92	0.68	1.01	1.26




Fig. 3. Effect of integrated nutrient management on dry matter accumulation (DMA) of wheat




Fig. 4. Effect of integrated nutrient management on dry matter accumulation (DMA) of wheat




Fig. 5. Effect of integrated nutrient management on plant height of wheat Number of Tillers/Plant

Table 3. Effect of integrated nutrient management on dry matter accumulation (DMA) of wheat

T.No.	Treatments	Dry matter accumulation (g/plant)			
		30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest
T ₁	Control	0.15	2.39	4.06	4.38
T ₂	100% RDF	0.23	4.10	5.47	5.71
T ₃	100% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha	0.26	4.62	6.60	7.79
T ₄	75% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha	0.23	4.26	6.03	6.89
T ₅	50% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha	0.21	3.45	5.17	5.78
T ₆	100% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha + PSB	0.28	5.03	7.46	8.75
T ₇	75% RDF + farm yard manure @1t/ha+ PSB	0.24	4.37	6.26	7.24
T ₈	50% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha+ PSB	0.22	3.72	5.87	6.64
	SEm±	0.01	0.06	0.18	0.26
	CD (P=0.05)	0.02	0.19	0.54	0.78

Table 4. Effect of integrated nutrient management on days to initiation of germination of wheat

T.No.	Treatments	Days to initiation of germination
T ₁	Control	6.00
T ₂	100% RDF	5.67
T ₃	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	4.33
T ₄	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	5.67
T ₅	50% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	5.67
T ₆	100% RDF+ farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	4.67
T ₇	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	5.67
T ₈	50% RDF+ farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	5.67
	SEm±	0.28
	CD (P=0.05)	0.86

Table 5. Effect of integrated nutrient management on days to 50% flowering of wheat

T.No.	Treatments	Days to 50 % flowering
T ₁	Control	72.00
T ₂	100% RDF	68.33
T ₃	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	69.00
T ₄	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	71.33
T ₅	50% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	72.67
T ₆	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha +PSB	66.67
T ₇	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha+PSB	72.33
T ₈	50% RDF+ farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	74.00
	SEm±	0.64
	CD (P=0.05)	1.96

The economic analysis further strengthens the case for integrated nutrient management, as the higher initial investment in T₆ is justified by the significantly improved returns (Yadav & Kumar, 2009). This has important implications for agricultural policy and extension services, suggesting that farmers should be encouraged to adopt integrated nutrient management practices rather than relying solely on inorganic fertilizers. The findings also indicate that such an approach could help in reducing the

environmental impact of intensive agriculture while maintaining or improving productivity levels (Fig. 5). This is particularly relevant in the context of climate change and the growing need for sustainable agricultural practices that can ensure food security while preserving natural resources. Similar findings were done by Bodruzzaman et al., (2010); Bagri et al., (2022); Essam and Lattief, (2016); Chen, (2006) and Audit and Charest, (2007).

Table 6. Effect of integrated nutrient management on spike length number of grains spike⁻¹, grains weightplant⁻¹, and test weight of wheat

T. No.	Treatments	Spikes /plant	Spike length (cm)	Grains/ spike	Grain weight/plant (g)	Test weight (g)
T ₁	Control	2.67	6.40	28.67	1.80	34.33
T ₂	100% RDF	3.67	8.47	35.33	2.30	40.66
T ₃	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	4.33	8.64	38.33	2.37	40.92
T ₄	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	3.67	7.98	36.33	2.30	40.48
T ₅	50% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	3.33	7.74	36.33	2.07	40.22
T ₆	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	4.67	9.54	42.33	2.47	42.53
T ₇	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	4.00	8.87	38.67	2.20	41.12
T ₈	50% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	3.67	8.16	36.67	2.13	40.01
	SEm±	0.33	0.18	0.72	0.05	0.54
	CD (P=0.05)	1.02	0.55	2.20	0.17	1.66

Table 7. Effect of integrated nutrient management on grain, straw yield ha⁻¹ and harvest index of wheat

T.No.	Treatments	Grain yield (kg/ha)	Straw yield (kg/ha)	HI (%)
T ₁	Control	2,122	3,735	36.23
T ₂	100% RDF	3,766	5,776	39.49
T ₃	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	4,172	5,841	41.67
T ₄	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	3,986	6,099	39.53
T ₅	50% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha	3,757	5,635	40.00
T ₆	100% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	4,463	5,802	43.48
T ₇	75% RDF + farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	4,073	5,973	40.56
T ₈	50% RDF+ farm yard manure @ 1t/ha + PSB	3,884	5,890	39.74
	SEm±	34	89	0.27
	CD (P=0.05)	105	273	0.84

- These results contribute to the growing body of evidence supporting the effectiveness of integrated nutrient management in cereal crops. Similar findings were observed how vermicompost can improve soil fertility, stimulate plant growth, and protect crops from various stresses without negatively impacting the environment by Patyal et al., (2022) and Ahmed et al., (2024). The study demonstrates that combining organic, inorganic, and biological fertilizers can create a more balanced and efficient nutrient supply system, leading to improved crop performance and economic returns. This approach represents a viable strategy for sustainable wheat production, offering a pathway to optimize resource use efficiency while maintaining soil health and crop productivity Verma et al., (2023) also focused on sustainable fertilizer use and its role in maintaining soil health and agricultural productivity, emphasizing integrated approaches.

4. CONCLUSION

Study demonstrates the significant advantages of an integrated nutrient management approach in wheat cultivation. The combination of 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), farm yard manure (FYM), and Phosphate Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) (treatment T₆) resulted in superior plant growth, yield components, and economic performance compared to other treatments. The inclusion of FYM improved soil physical properties and provided a steady nutrient supply, while the addition of PSB enhanced phosphorus availability and soil biological activity. The synergistic effect of these three components led to increased plant height, tiller numbers, and dry matter accumulation, ultimately translating into higher gross and net monetary returns and a better benefit-cost ratio.

Integrated nutrient management could be a key strategy for achieving sustainable intensification in wheat production systems, particularly in regions where soil fertility is a limiting factor. This approach not only addresses the immediate nutrient requirements of the crop but also contributes to long-term soil health and sustainable agricultural practices, with potential implications for reducing the environmental impact of intensive agriculture while maintaining productivity levels.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. Quill bot is used for purpose of paraphrasing the text to pertain the uniqueness of manuscript.
2. No specific AI is used in the manuscript.
3. Research gate website is used for addition of references.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, M., Khan, A., & Ali, S. (2024). Climate trends and wheat yield in Punjab, Pakistan: Assessing the change and impact. *Agricultural Systems*, 208, 103–118.
- Alam, S., Khan, M., & Singh, R. (2024). A comprehensive review of agronomic practices and their impact on soil health and crop productivity under changing climate scenarios. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 356, 1–15.
- Astaneh, N., Bazrafshan, F., Zare, M., Amir, B., & Bahrini, A. (2018). Effect of nano chelated nitrogen and urea fertilizers on wheat plant under drought stress condition. *NativaSinop*, 6(6), 587–593.
- Audit, P., & Charest, C. (2007). Dynamics of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in heavy metal phytoremediation: Meta-analytical and conceptual perspectives. *Environmental Pollution*, 147, 609–614.
- Bagri, P. K., Bhadauria, S. S., Singh, V., Patel, R., & Bagri, U. S. (2022). Effect of organic sources of nutrients on productivity, nutrient contents, and uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(9), 2749–2751.
- Bambal, G., Gawali, K., Rakshit, S., Thaokar, A., & Ingle, S. (2023). Effect of different sources of fertilizers on yield and economics of wheat. *International Journal*

- of *Statistics and Applied Mathematics*, SP-8(5), 687–689.
- Bodruzzaman, M., Meisner, C. A., Sadat, M. A., & Hossain Israil, M. (2010). Long-term effects of applied organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on yield and soil fertility in a wheat-rice crop.
- Chen, J. H. (2006). The combined use of chemical and organic fertilizers and/or biofertilizers for crop growth and soil fertility. *International Workshop on Sustained Management of the Soil-Rhizosphere System for Efficient Crop Production and Fertilizer Use*, 16–20 October, Land Development Department, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Essam, A., & Abd El-Lattief. (2016). Use of *Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter* bacteria as biofertilizers in cereal crops: A review. *Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 36–44.
- Gomaa, M. A., Radwan, E. E., Kandil, & Al-Msari, M. A. F. (2018). Response of some Egyptian and Iraqi wheat cultivars to mineral and nano-fertilization. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences*, 9(1), 19–26.
- Gopinath, K., Saha, S., Mina, B. L., Pande, H., Kundu, S., & Gupta, H. (2016). Effect of different nutrients management treatments on growth, yield, and quality of (*Triticum aestivum*). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7, 3473–3479.
- Joshi, R., Adarsh, P., & Singh, J. (2013). Vermicompost as soil supplement to enhance growth, yield, and quality of *Triticum aestivum* L.: A field study. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*, 2, 16.
- Kanoj, J., Choudhary, D., Jain, M., Tomar, M., Patidar, R., & Choudhary, R. (2022). Effect of nano urea vs conventional urea on the nutrient content, uptake, and economics of black wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) along with biofertilizers. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 14(2), 499–504.
- Kaur, G., Singh, I., Behl, R., & Dhankar, A. (2024). Effect of different integrated nutrient management approaches on growth, yield attributes, and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crop: A review. *AJSSPN*, 10(1), 457–468.
- Kloepper, J. W., Ryu, C. M., & Zhang, S. (2004). Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by *Bacillus* spp. *Phytopathology*, 94, 1259–1266.
- Kumar, A., Sharma, P., & Patel, H. (2023). Fertilizer use, soil health, and agricultural sustainability: A review of current practices and future perspectives. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(2), 89–102.
- Kumar, K. A., Kumar, Y., Savitha, A. S., Kumar, M. Y., Narayanswamy, Raliya, R., Krupashankar, M. R., & Bhat, S. N. (2021). Effect of IFFCO nano fertilizer on growth, grain yield, and managing Turcicum leaf blight disease in maize. *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, 33(16), 19–28.
- Mishra, M., Sahu, R. K., Sahu, S. K., & Sahu, R. N. (2009). Growth, yield, and elements content of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grown in composted municipal solid wastes amended soil. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 11, 115–126.
- Panwar, S. L., Pasrija, R., & Prasad, R. (2008). Membrane homeostasis and multidrug resistance in yeast. *Bioscience Reports*, 28, 217–228.
- Patra, P. S., & Biswas, S. (2009). Integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, and economics of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under terai region. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 5, 136–139.
- Patyal, A., Shekhar, C., Sachan, R., Kumar, D., Yadav, A., & Kumar, G. (2022). Effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) on growth parameters and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Plant Soil Science*, 34(22), 962–967.
- Rajput, J. S., Thakur, A. K., Nag, N. K., Chandrakar, T., & Singh, D. P. (2022). Effect of nano fertilizer in relation to growth, yield, and economics of little millet (*Panicum sumatrense* Roth) under rainfed conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(7), 153–156.
- Rawate, D., Patel, J. R., Agrawal, A. P., Agrawal, H. P., Pandey, D., Patel, C. R., Verma, P., Chandravanshi, M., Hetram, & Kuma, A. (2022). Effect of nano urea on productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under irrigated condition. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(9), 1279–1282.
- Singh, B., Meena, R., & Patel, S. (2024). Evaluation of selected organic fertilizers on conditioning degraded soils: Implications for sustainable agriculture. *Heliyon*, 10(2), 1–12.
- Verma, R., Yadav, A., & Kumar, S. (2023). Effects of vermicompost on soil and plant

- health: Advancing sustainable agriculture through integrated nutrient management. *Soil Systems*, 7(4), 101–115.
- Yadav, D. S., & Kumar, A. (2009). Long-term effect of nutrient management on soil health and productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa*) – wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 54, 15–23.
- Zhao, Y., Wang, P., Ligianlong, Chen, Y., Ying, X., & Liu, S. (2009). The effects of two organic manures on soil properties and crop yields on a temperate calcareous soil under a wheat-maize cropping system. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 31, 36–42.
- Ramadas, S., Kumar, T. K., & Singh, G. P. (2019). Wheat production in India: Trends and prospects. In *Recent advances in grain crops research*. IntechOpen.
- Panase, V. G., & Sukhatme, P. V. (1967). Statistical methods of agricultural workers. 2nd Endorsement. *ICAR Publication, New Delhi, India*, 381.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/128323>